

Summary of Proposed Bylaws Amendments – December 6, 2025

TABLED 12/6/2025 Article II, Section 4 – Endorsements

Proposed amendment is simply a clean-up of existing language in subsections A-D and does not change the way this provision has been interpreted or applied. However, the current language is somewhat convoluted, and Section 4(c) makes no sense. Also, former paragraph B was in the midst of 2 information on contested primaries. It was moved to the end of the provision to help the flow and to emphasize that SEC, etc. must not endorse Democrats or other non-Republican candidates in any partisan race in which a Republican is running.

BOTH OPTIONS PASSED 12/6/2025: Article IX, Section 2 - Proposals regarding Bona Fide Ballot Challenges

Option 1 – Change number of challengers to 3 instead of 5

This does not change the current process of allowing people to challenge the bona fide status of a person running for public office other than changing the number of people required to lodge a challenge from five (5) to three (3), provided that those challenging are from different households. Prior to the last change, the idea was for 3 to be able to challenge; however, the original number required to challenge was moved to 5 because married couples were finding one other person to sign a challenge. This has been changed back to 3 with the caveat that each person signing the challenge must be from different households.

Option 2 – more strict

The primary change has been to have the TRP be more proactive in ensuring that those running in Republican primaries have a solid Republican voting record. That is accomplished by having 1 the TRP check prospective candidates' voting record upon receiving nominating petitions to determine if they at least meet the current voting requirements. If the TRP is unable to verify a prospective candidate's voting record or finds that the person is ineligible under other sections of the bylaws, the person will be sent a challenge letter and given the opportunity to respond to the Party's decision and/or challenge just as challenges are currently handled. An additional benefit of this approach is that these situations can be handled earlier and, in some cases, should help cut down on the doxxing of challengers' private information such as names, addresses, and other identifying details, without their consent, for the purpose of harassing them.

PASSED 12/6/2025 Rule H - Language Change for Compliance

This amendment simply brings the TRP Rules into compliance with current state law.